

## Prof. Galit Nahari – List of Publications by Topic

### Verifiability Approach

Nahari, G. & Nisin, Z. (accepted). The Verifiability Approach for distinguishing lies from truths. In Y. Idisis, L. Cohen-Raz, & P. Silfen. *Clinical Criminology in Israel: Theory, Research and Clinic*.

Palena, N., Caso, L., Vrij, A. & Nahari, G. (2021). The Verifiability Approach: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 10, 155 – 166.

Vernham, Z., Vrij, A., & Nahari, G., Leal, S., Mann, S., & Satchell, L., Orthey, R. (2020). Applying the verifiability approach to deception detection in alibi witness situations. *Acta Psychologica*, 204, 1 – 14.

Vrij, A., Nahari, G. & Fisher, R.P. (2020) Cognitive credibility assessment and the verifiability approach: Two lie detection tools to elicit or enhance verbal cues to deceit. In: R. Horselenberg, V. Van Koppen & J. de Keijser (Eds.) *Bakens in de rechtspsychologie: Liber amicorum voor Peter van Koppen [Beacons in legal psychology: Liber amicorum for Peter van Koppen]* (pp. 477-490). The Hague, The Netherlands: Boom criminologie.

Jupe, L.M., Vrij, A., Leal, S., & Nahari, G. (2019). Fading lies: Applying the verifiability approach after a period of delay. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 26, 1 - 16.

Nahari, G. (2019). Verifiability approach: Applications in different judgmental settings. In T. Docan-Morgan (Ed.). *The Handbook of Deceptive Communication* (pp. 213 – 225). New York: Palgrave Macmillan

Vrij, A. & Nahari, G. (2019). The Verifiability Approach In J. J. Dickinson, N. Schreiber Compo, R. Carol, B. L. Schwartz, & M. McCauley (eds.) *Evidence-Based Investigative Interviewing* (pp. 116-133). New York: Routledge.

Nahari, G. & Vrij, A. (2019). The Verifiability Approach: Advances, challenges and future prospects. In R. Bull, & I. Blandón-Gitlin (Eds.). *Handbook of legal and investigative psychology* (pp. 212-223). London: Routledge

Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Sarikas, G., Leal, S., Jupe, L.M., & Nahari, G. (2018). Extending the Verifiability Approach framework: The effect of initial questioning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32, 787-804.

Nahari, G. (2018). The applicability of the verifiability approach to the Real world. In J. P. Rosenfeld (Ed.). *Detecting Concealed Information and Deception: Recent Developments* (pp. 329 - 349). London: Elsevier

Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Nahari, G., & Ludwig, K. (2017). Applying the verifiability approach in insurance claims contexts: exploring the effect of the information protocol. *Legal and Criminological Psychology, 22*, 47 - 59.

Harvey, C. H., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Lafferty, M., Nahari, G. (2017). Insurance-Based Lie Detection: Enhancing the Verifiability Approach with a Model Statement Component. *Acta Psychologica, 174*, 1 - 8.

Jupe, L. M., Leal, S; Vrij, A., & Nahari, G. (2017). Applying the Verifiability Approach in an International Airport Setting. *Psychology, Crime and Law, 23*, 812 – 825.

Jupe, L. M., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., & Nahari, G. (2016). The lies we live: using the Verifiability Approach to detect lying about occupation. *Journal of Articles in Support of the Null Hypothesis, 13*, 1 – 13.

Vrij, A., Nahari, G., Isitt, R., & Leal, S. (2016). Using the verifiability lie detection approach in an insurance claim setting. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 13*, 183 – 197.

Kleinberg, B, Nahari, G., & Verschuere, B. (2016). Using the verifiability of details as a test of deception: A conceptual framework for the automation of the verifiability approach. *Proceeding of NAACL-HLT 2016*, 18–25.

Nahari, G., & Vrij, A. (2015). Can someone fabricate verifiable details when planning in advance? It all depends on the crime scenario. *Psychology, Crime and Law, 21*, 987-999.

Nahari, G., Vrij, A., & Fisher, R. P. (2014). Exploiting liars' verbal strategies by examining the verifiability of details. *Legal and Criminological Psychology, 19*, 227 – 239.

Nahari, G., Vrij, A., & Fisher, R. P. (2014). The verifiability approach: Countermeasures facilitate its ability to uncover lies. *Applied Cognitive Psychology, 28*, 122 – 128.

Nahari, G., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Warmelink, L., & Vernham, Z. (2014). Did somebody see it? Applying the verifiability approach to insurance claims interviews. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 11*, 237 – 243.

Nahari, G., & Vrij, A. (2014). Can I Borrow Your Alibi? The applicability of the verifiability approach to the case of an alibi witness. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 3*, 89 – 94.

#### Context Embedded Perception (CEP)

Nisin, Z., Nahari, G., & Goldsmith, M. (2022). Lies divorced from context: evidence for Context Embedded Perception (CEP) as a feasible measure for deception detection. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2022.2078825>

Nahari, G., & Nisin, Z. (2019). Digging further into the Speech of Liars: Future Research Prospects in Verbal Lie Detection, *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 10*:56.

## Verbal Lie Detection – General

Bogaard, G., Nußbaum, M., Schlaudt, L.S., Meijer, E.H., Nahari, G., & Vrij, A. (2022). A comparable truth baseline improves truth/lie detection. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*.

Bogaard, G., Meijer, E. H., Vrij, A. & Nahari, G. (2022) Detecting deception using comparable truth baselines. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, DOI: 10.1080/1068316X.2022.2030334

Vrij, A.; Leal, S., Mann, M., Vernham, Z., Dalton, G., Serok-Jeppa, O., Rozmann, N., Nahari, G., & Fisher, R.P. (2021). Please tell me all you remember”: A comparison between British’ and Arab’ interviewees’ free narrative performance and its consequences for lie detection. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 28, 546 - 559.

Vrij, M.L., Jupe, L.M, Leal, S. Vernham, Z., & Nahari, G. (2020). Analyzing openly recorded pre-interview deliberations to detect deceit in collective interviews, *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 34, 132 - 141.

Nahari, G., Ashkenazi, T., Fisher, R.P., Granhag, P.A., Hershkovitz, I., Masip, J., Meijer, E., Nisin, Z., Sarid, N., Taylor, P.J, Verschuere, B., Vrij, A. (2019). “Language of Lies”: Urgent issues and prospects in verbal lie detection research. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 24, 1- 23.

Leal, S., Vrij, A., Vernham, Z., Dalton, G., Jupe, L.M., Nahari, G., & Rozmann, N. (2019). Using the Model Statement to Elicit Verbal Differences between Truth tellers and Liars amongst Arab interviewees: A Partial Replication of Leal, Vrij, Deeb and Jupe (2018), *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 33, 1008-1017.

Nahari, G. (2018). Reality Monitoring in the Forensic Context: Digging Deeper into the Speech of Liars. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 7, 432-440.

Leal, S., Vrij, A., Vernham, Z., Dalton, G., Jupe, L.M., Harvey, A., & Nahari, G. (2018). Cross-cultural verbal deception. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 23, 192-213.

Jupe, L. M., Leal, S; Vrij, A., & Nahari, G. (2018). Are you for real? The use of unexpected process questions within the detection of identity deception. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32, 622-634.

Kleinberg, B., Nahari, G., Arntz, A., & Verschuere, B. (2017). An investigation of the detectability of false intent about flying. *Collabra: Psychology*, 3:21.

Vrij, A., & Nahari, G. (2017). Verbal lie detection. In P. A. Granhag, R. Bull, A. Shaboltas, & E. Dozortseva (Eds.), *Psychology and law in Europe: When West Meets East* (pp. 263 - 282). London: CRC Press (Taylor & Francis Group).

Leal, S., Vrij, A., Nahari, G., Geven, L, & Mann, S. (2016). Please be honest and provide evidence: Deterrents of deception in an online insurance fraud context. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 30, 768 - 774.

Nahari, G. (2016). When the long road is the shortcut: A comparison between two coding methods for content-based lie detection tools. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 22, 1000-1014.

Nahari, G., & Pazuelo, M. (2015). Telling a convincing story: Richness in detail as a function of gender and information. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 4, 363-367.

Nahari, G., Vrij, A., & Fisher, R. P. (2012). Does the truth come out in the writing? SCAN as a lie detection tool. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36, 68-76.

### Investigative Decision Making

Rozmann, N., & Nahari, G. (2022). Credibility assessment in context: The influence of intergroup bias and the context of the crime. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 28, 454 - 469.

Rozmann, N. & Nahari, G. (2022): Credibility assessments of alibi accounts: the role of cultural intergroup bias. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 29, 535-548.

Rozmann, N. & Nahari, G. (2020). The influence of suspect ethnicity and evidence direction on alibi credibility assessment. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*; 36, 1516 – 1521.

Nahari, G. (2017). Top-down processes in interpersonal reality monitoring (RM) assessments. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 23, 232 - 242.

Nahari, G. & Vrij, A. (2015). Systematic errors (biases) in applying verbal lie detection tools: richness in detail as a test case. *Crime Psychology Review*, 1, 98-107.

Nahari, G., & Vrij, A. (2014). Are you as good as me at telling a story? Individual differences in interpersonal reality-monitoring. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 20, 573 – 583.

Nahari, G., & Ben-Shakhar, G. (2013). Primacy effect in credibility judgments: The vulnerability of verbal cues to biased interpretations. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 27, 247-255.

Nahari, G. (2012). Elaborations on credibility judgments by professional lie detectors and laypersons: Strategies of judgment and justification. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 18, 567-577.

Nahari, G. (2012). Credibility assessments of testimonies: Individual differences among judges. In R. Peled-Laskov, E. Shoham, & M. Carmon (Eds.), *False convictions: Philosophical, organizational and psychological aspects* (pp. 229-239). Tel-Aviv: Perlstein-Ginossar (in Hebrew).

Nahari, G. (2010). Credibility judgments: Biases which are inherent in the judge. *Social Issues in Israel*, 10, 6-27. (Hebrew).

Nahari, G., Glicksohn, J., & Nachson, I. (2010). Credibility judgments of narratives: Language, plausibility and absorption. *American Journal of Psychology*, 123, 319–335.

Nahari, G., Glicksohn, J., & Nachson, I. (2009). Do textual features affect credibility judgment? It all depends on who is the Judge. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 288-295.

Nahari, G. (2008). The role of perspective-taking in credibility judgments. In E. Avram (Ed.), *Psychology in a positive world: Resources for personal, organizational, and social development* (pp. 77-86). Bucharest: University Press.

#### Investigative Interviewing and Lie Detection - General

Nisin, Z., & Nahari, G. (2023). Applying Cognitive Science: From Research to Operational Manuals in Israel. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*.

Nahari, G. (2016). Advances in lie detection: limitations and potential for investigating allegations of abuse. In R. Burnett (Ed.), *Wrongful Allegations of Sexual and Child Abuse* (pp. 242 – 252). Oxford: Oxford University Press

Nahari, G., & Ben-Shakhar, G. (2011). Psychophysiological and behavioral measures for detecting concealed information: The role of memory for crime details. *Psychophysiology*, *48*, 733-744.

#### Other

McCarthy, R. J., Skowronski, J. J., Verschuere, B., Meijer, E. H., Jim, A., Hoogesteyn, K., Nahari, G... & Barbosa, F. (2018). Registered Replication Report on Srull and Wyer (1979). *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *1*, 321-336.

Verschuere, B., Meijer, E. H., Jim, A., Hoogesteyn, K., Orthey, R., McCarthy, R. J., .Nahari, G... & Barbosa, F. (2018). Registered Replication Report on Mazar, Amir, and Ariely (2008). *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *1*, 299-317.

Hazani, M., & Nahari, G. (2003). Social organization, intergenerational ties, and juvenile delinquency. *International Review of Sociology*, *13*, 3-20.

Bitan, M., Nahari, G., Nisin, Z., Roth, A., & Kraus, S. (2017). Psychologically based Virtual-Suspect for Interrogative Interview Training (Long paper in AAI, 2017).

Bitan, M., Nahari, G., Nisin, Z., Roth, A., & Kraus, S. (2016). Psychologically based Virtual-Suspect for Interrogative Interview Training (Short paper in IVA, 2016).

Nahari, G., Sheinfeld, V., Glicksohn, J., & Nachson, I. (2015). Serial reproduction of traumatic events: does the chain unravel?. *Cognitive Processing*, *16*, 111 – 120.

Nahari, G. (2010). Cigarette smoking motives among Israeli young smokers: The role of personality and smoking level. *Megamot*, *47*, 254-276. (Hebrew).

Glicksohn, J., & Nahari, G. (2007). Interacting personality traits? Smoking as a test case. *European Journal of Personality*, *21*, 225-234.